TMP CHECKLIST

Purpose: To make a preliminary determination of whether the following issues are present or should be considered during the Design-Build project development through a more detailed TMP.

Project Name and Number/PIN: Lyndon IM 091-3(53) / 19A189

Initial Project Significance Level (as determined in Table 4): A - Significant

Project Manager during Project Definition:

	Name:	Mahendra Thy	lliar	Date:	6/11	/2024
--	-------	--------------	-------	-------	------	-------

Modified or Approved by (Project Manager at Preliminary Design for Significant Projects):

Name: Date:

Modified or Approved by (Project Manager at PS&E for Significant Projects):

Name: _____

Date:	

Project Description (Location, Activity, Anticipated Duration):

		Yes	No	Poss	N/A	Comments
1.	Does the project require a long-term (greater than 3 days) ¹ lane or roadway/bridge closure?					Minimum of one lane traffic maintained on NB and SB with the use of crossovers.
2.	Are there any restrictions or considerations regarding construction timeframes due to traffic concerns (e.g., time of day, site specific time of year limits)?					Use of crossovers limited to construction season both lanes in each direction shall be open for the winter maintenance season.
3.	Can typical applications for traffic control be used? Are there any limitations to when typical applications can be used (time of year, times, days)?					
4.	Is there a sidewalk, pedestrian/bicycle lane, path, trail, or access that needs to be maintained during construction?		\boxtimes			
5.	Is a speed reduction proposed (consistent with state guidance)?					Interstate speed reduced for crossovers from 65 to 55 mph.
6.	Will temporary roadways or additional width be needed on culverts, bridges, or shoulders to maintain traffic?					

		Yes	No	Poss	N/A	Comments
7.	Will construction impact access to businesses?		\boxtimes			
8.	Are there other projects (utility, district maintenance, construction, municipal) in the area that should be coordinated or avoided?					
9.	Will/Can the traffic be reasonably detoured? If no or N/A, proceed to #10. If yes or possibly:				\square	
	 a. Is the detour route roadway type equivalent to closed roadway? 					
	b. Is the local alternate detour route in good condition?					
	c. Will the detour route have a detrimental impact on emergency vehicles, school buses, or other sensitive traffic?					
	d. Are there load limit restrictions on the detour?					
	e. Are there bridge/culvert width or height restrictions on the detour?					
	f. Are modifications needed at intersections on detour/alternate routes?					
10.	Will traffic signal timing need to be adjusted for the project (with or without a detour)?		\square			
11.	Are there truck facilities or routes that would be impacted by the project or by a detour (turning radii, weight restrictions, etc.)?					Interstate I-91 has a high volume of truck traffic.
12.	Are there special events or traffic generators (schools and bus routes, large employers, hospitals) that may be affected by the project and/or detour?		\boxtimes			
13.	Will the emergency vehicle routing, mail delivery, school bus routes, or trash services be interrupted by the project (with or without a detour)?					
14.	Are there specific stakeholders to engage regarding the work zone impacts?	\boxtimes				Yes. These are specifically spelled out in the RFP.
15.	Does the project occur within a high crash location?		\square			
16.	Are there other maintenance of traffic issues to consider? Specify.		\square			

1. MUTCD definition of long-term work is occupying a location more than 3 days.

Additional Narrative for Projects with issues identified above:

This is a design build contract and the TMP Checklist is prepared based on the Base Technical Concept (DTC). The traffic management proposed for the DTC is the use of crossovers to maintain a minimum of one lane northbound and one lane southbound while the new structures are constructed. The use of crossovers would be limited to the summer construction season as defined in the RFP. The Checklist is required to be updated by the Design-Build Team based on changes due to Alternative Technical Concepts.

This project has been determined to be not significant. This project does impact mobility on I-91 which has a posted speed greater than 55 mph. However, the traffic volumes in this segment are relatively low so the impacts would to mobility would be limited to the reduced posted speed to 55 mph. The geometry of the crossovers shown in the DTC are consistent with a posted speed of 55 mph.

Project stakeholder engagement will be undertaken by the Design-Build Team as specified in the RFP. Buildings and General Services (BGS) is aware of the project and that the DTC requires closing access to the Lyndon Information Center for one construction season.